Serial No.: 10/522,159

## <u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 1-2 and 4-6, as amended, remain herein. Claims 1 and 4 have been amended to include subject matter previously recited in claim 3, now canceled. Claim 6 is new.

- 1. Claims 1 and 2 were rejected under 35 U. S. C. § 112, first paragraph. Claim 1 has been amended to clarify that the claimed glass includes silica. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) or 35 U.S.C § 103(a) over Steidl U.S. Patent 6,342,302.

Claim 1, as now amended, claims a glass for a multilayer film filter comprising SiO<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>O, K<sub>2</sub>O, MgO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, wherein a SiO<sub>2</sub> content is not less than 37 mol% nor more than 43 mol%; a B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content is not less than 2 mol% nor more than 5 mol%; a Na<sub>2</sub>O content is not less than 5 mol% nor more than 20 mol%; a K<sub>2</sub>O content is not less than 7 mol% nor more than 20 mol%; a sum of the Na<sub>2</sub>O content and the K<sub>2</sub>O content is not less than 21 mol% nor more than 27 mol%; a MgO content is not less than 21 mol% nor more than 37 mol%; and a Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content is not less than 3 mol% nor more than 10 mol%. These constituents were previously claimed in claim 3, now canceled. Steidl does not disclose or suggest these constituents and therefore is not a proper basis for a section 102 rejection of applicants' amended claims. Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5, were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) or 35 U.S.C § 103(a) over Brodkin U.S. Patent 6,120,591.

Serial No.: 10/522,159

As noted above, claim 1, as now amended, claims a glass for a multilayer film filter comprising SiO<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>O, K<sub>2</sub>O, MgO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, wherein a SiO<sub>2</sub> content is not less than 37 mol% nor more than 43 mol%; a B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content is not less than 2 mol% nor more than 5 mol%; a Na<sub>2</sub>O content is not less than 5 mol% nor more than 20 mol%; a K<sub>2</sub>O content is not less than 7 mol% nor more than 20 mol%; a sum of the Na<sub>2</sub>O content and the K<sub>2</sub>O content is not less than 21 mol% nor more than 27 mol%; a MgO content is not less than 21 mol% nor more than 37 mol%; and a Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content is not less than 3 mol% nor more than 10 mol%. These constituents were previously claimed in claim 3, now canceled. Like Steidl, Brodkin does not disclose or suggest these constituents and therefore is not a proper basis for a section 102 rejection of applicants' amended claims. Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

4. Claims 1-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) and 35 U.S.C § 103(a) over Kobayashi U.S. Patent 7,008,891.

As noted previously, claim 1, as now amended, claims a glass for a multilayer film filter comprising SiO<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>O, K<sub>2</sub>O, MgO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, wherein a SiO<sub>2</sub> content is not less than 37 mol% nor more than 43 mol%; a B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content is not less than 2 mol% nor more than 5 mol%; a Na<sub>2</sub>O content is not less than 5 mol% nor more than 20 mol%; a K<sub>2</sub>O content is not less than 7 mol% nor more than 20 mol%; a sum of the Na<sub>2</sub>O content and the K<sub>2</sub>O content is not less than 21 mol% nor more than 27 mol%; a MgO content is not less than 21 mol% nor more than 37 mol%; and a Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content is not less than 3 mol% nor more than 10 mol%. These constituents were previously claimed in claim 3, now canceled.

Serial No.: 10/522,159

Kobayashi does not teach or suggest the combination of components in the molar

percentage claimed in claim 1. Kobayashi instead teaches in one embodiment a molar

percentage of MgO up to 15% (col. 5, lines 31-37) and in another embodiment a molar

percentage of MgO up to 10% (col. 7, lines 21-27). Kobayashi explicitly teaches away from

exceeding these amounts. Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

For the foregoing reasons, withdrawal of these rejections and allowance of all claims 1-2

and 4-6 are respectfully requested.

The PTO is hereby authorized to charge or credit any necessary fees to Deposit Account

No. 19-4293. Should the Examiner deem that any further amendments would be desirable in

placing this application in even better condition for issue, he is invited to telephone applicant's

undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 7, 2006

Roger W. Parkhurst

Reg. No. 25,177

C. Donald Stevens

Reg. No. 53,638

Attorney Docket No.: 28951.2188

STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 1330 Connecticut Ave., N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel: (202) 429-3000

Fax: (202) 429-3902

8